

Examiners' Report/  
Principal Examiner Feedback

January 2013

GCE Accounting (6001)  
Paper 01

## **Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications**

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the world's leading learning company. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at [www.edexcel.com](http://www.edexcel.com) or [www.btec.co.uk](http://www.btec.co.uk) for our BTEC qualifications.

Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at [www.edexcel.com/contactus](http://www.edexcel.com/contactus).

If you have any subject specific questions about this specification that require the help of a subject specialist, you can speak directly to the subject team at Pearson. Their contact details can be found on this link: [www.edexcel.com/teachingservices](http://www.edexcel.com/teachingservices).

You can also use our online Ask the Expert service at [www.edexcel.com/ask](http://www.edexcel.com/ask). You will need an Edexcel username and password to access this service.

## **Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere**

Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: [www.pearson.com/uk](http://www.pearson.com/uk)

January 2013

Publications Code UA034162

All the material in this publication is copyright

© Pearson Education Ltd 2013

# **GCE Accounting 6001/01 January 2013**

## **General comments**

Candidates performed in line with previous Examination Series although there was noticeable improvement in some areas. In particular candidates improved their evaluation skills further with generally wide ranging, but balanced arguments in a number of questions. It was particularly noted that where candidates were required to evaluate a business position, responses were informed and balanced.

Previous Examiners Reports have referred to poor balancing of ledger accounts. I am pleased to report that both narrations and technical balancing of accounts was much improved in this examination series.

## **Specific comments**

### **Question 1**

Candidates generally prepared good answers to the question. The Journal entries recording the purchase were of variable quality with more candidates preparing limited answers than good answers. In the Departmental Trading Account the transfers were often not shown separately and were sometimes deducted, incorrectly, from the opening inventory. The wages were often not included in the Departmental Trading Account.

The Income Statement and Financial Position Statement were generally prepared well and accurately. The evaluation of the decision to buy the premises was often one sided in the arguments put forward.

Common errors:

- Journal entries recording the purchase
- Treatment of the transfers in the Departmental Trading Account.

### **Question 2**

The responses from candidates when describing a debit balance on the Rent Receivable Account varied widely in quality. Candidates generally prepared accurate answers to the ledger accounts but often could not identify the accounting concepts which would support or oppose the change in depreciation method. Candidates were fully conversant with the difference between capital and revenue expenditure.

Many candidates made a good attempt to calculate the adjustment in depreciation, but for others responses were limited. The two ledger accounts were reasonably accurate. Candidates demonstrated a range of knowledge when evaluating the proposal with a good balance of points for and against.

Common errors:

- Description of a debit balance on the Rent Receivable Account
- Identification of an accounting concept in support and against the proposal
- A calculation of the depreciation adjustment.

### **Question 3**

Descriptions and the use of examples for the three accounting concepts generally lacked precision. This was particularly evident in the case of the realisation concept. The Income Statement was generally well prepared but with the common omission of the profit on the sale of fixtures and fittings and the rent receivable. The Purchases Control Account and the Statement of Financial Position were accurate. The evaluation of the use of accounting concepts was much improved on previous examinations.

Common errors:

- The description and use of examples for the three accounting concepts. This was particularly evident in the case of the realisation concept.

### **Question 4**

The definitions given for profitability were much improved from those in previous examinations. The Income Statement was generally well prepared, but candidates failed to add back the long term loan interest to the profit for the year when calculating the Return on Capital Employed. The Financial Position Statement was generally well prepared and the liquidity ratios were accurate and in good format. The evaluation was appropriate with balanced comments.

Common errors:

- Failure to add back the long term loan interest to the profit for the year when calculating the Return on Capital Employed.

### **Question 5**

The calculation of the Total Overhead Cost was generally inaccurate. In particular, the calculation of depreciation and managers' salary were inaccurate. Candidates were generally able to calculate the cost per unit in the three options provided and reached an appropriate conclusion. Candidates were fully aware of the points for and against when evaluating the proposal to remunerate using a bonus scheme.

Common errors:

- Calculation of the depreciation and managers' salary in the total overhead cost.

## **Question 6**

Candidates were generally unable to describe and state the use of a Schedule of Debtors. Candidates were also weak in the preparation of the Journal to record the recovery of the bad debt. Candidates did prepare reasonably accurate ledger account and in particular the Sales Ledger Control Account and the Provision for Doubtful Debts Account were very accurate. Evaluation comments on the need for a provision for doubtful debts were balanced and appropriate.

Common errors:

- Knowledge of the nature and purpose of a Schedule of Debtors
- Preparation of journal entries for the recovery of the bad debt.

## **Question 7**

Candidates generally coped well with the question, they were generally aware of the possible reasons for a partnership ending. The Dissolution Account was generally prepared accurately. The capital accounts and bank account contained many correct answers, but often a balance was carried down rather than the account being closed by a transfer from the Bank Account.

Common errors:

- Knowledge of the treatment of loans by the partners under the 1890 Partnership Act
- Closure of the capital accounts by a bank transfer.

## **Grade Boundaries**

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link:

<http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx>

Further copies of this publication are available from  
Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467  
Fax 01623 450481  
Email [publication.orders@edexcel.com](mailto:publication.orders@edexcel.com)  
Order Code UA034162 January 2013

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit  
[www.edexcel.com/quals](http://www.edexcel.com/quals)

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828  
with its registered office at Edinburgh Gate, Harlow, Essex CM20 2JE

**Ofqual**  
.....



Llywodraeth Cynulliad Cymru  
Welsh Assembly Government

